

SEIFERT FIBRATIONS OF LENS SPACES

HANSJÖRG GEIGES AND CHRISTIAN LANGE

ABSTRACT. We classify the Seifert fibrations of any given lens space $L(p, q)$. Starting from any pair of coprime non-zero integers α_1^0, α_2^0 , we give an algorithmic construction of a Seifert fibration $L(p, q) \rightarrow S^2(\alpha|\alpha_1^0|, \alpha|\alpha_2^0|)$, where the natural number α is determined by the algorithm. This algorithm produces all possible Seifert fibrations, and the equivalences between the resulting Seifert fibrations are described completely. Also, we show that all Seifert fibrations are equivalent to certain standard models.

1. INTRODUCTION

Seifert fibred 3-manifolds constitute an important family in the classification of 3-manifolds [10]. A Seifert fibration, roughly speaking, is an S^1 -fibration with a finite number of multiple fibres; see Section 2 for the precise definition.

It is well known that most 3-manifolds that admit a Seifert fibration do so in a unique way, see [7, p. 97]. The only exceptions (among closed, orientable 3-manifolds) are

- (i) lens spaces (including S^3 and $S^2 \times S^1$),
- (ii) prism manifolds, and
- (iii) a single euclidean 3-manifold,

see [7, Section 5.4 and Chapter 6] or [5, Theorem 5.1]. In (ii) and (iii) there are two distinct Seifert fibrations per manifold; in (i) there are infinitely many.

It is not difficult to see that a Seifert fibration of a lens space can have at most two multiple fibres (Lemma 4.1), and one can easily compute the diffeomorphism type of the lens space from the Seifert invariants of such a fibration (Theorem 4.3). These results are classical.

However, in the course of our respective works [2, 6] and [3] we noticed a lacuna in the literature on Seifert fibrations regarding the converse question: given a lens space, how does one determine its inequivalent Seifert fibrations? Also, how do these fibrations relate under coverings? The answers to these questions, in certain special cases, were given by *ad hoc* arguments in the cited papers. This information was used for geometric applications concerning periodic real Hamiltonian structures on projective 3-space, 2-dimensional Riemannian orbifolds with all geodesics closed (so-called Besse orbifolds), and the moduli theory of contact circles on 3-manifolds. In [6] a shorter proof for a result of Pries [8] concerning Besse metrics on the projective plane was given by appealing to properties of Seifert fibrations; from a topologist's point of view this alternative proof is simpler and more natural, but this may be a matter of taste.

The aim of the present paper is to provide a comprehensive answer to these questions. In Theorem 4.9 we introduce an algorithm that allows one to produce a

Seifert fibration on a given lens space with arbitrary prescribed coprime parts of the multiplicities of the two singular fibres. It is shown that any Seifert fibration arises in this way, except for two exceptional non-orientable Seifert fibrations of $L(4, 1)$ and $L(4, 3)$, for which we exhibit models in Section 4.3. The equivalences of the Seifert bundle structures coming from this algorithm are analysed in Theorem 4.11.

Concerning coverings, in Section 5 we show that every Seifert fibration of a lens space is isomorphic to a standard model, obtained by taking a quotient of a standard Seifert fibration of the 3-sphere. This is hardly surprising, but along the way we describe a useful geometric construction for computing the Seifert invariants of these standard models.

For the background on Seifert manifolds we only quote results from Seifert's original paper [11] (see the appendix of [12] for an English translation) and from the lecture notes [5] by Jankins and Neumann. With two introductory sections on Seifert manifolds and lens spaces, respectively, this paper is essentially self-contained. A further useful reference on Seifert manifolds are the lecture notes by Brin [1].

2. SEIFERT MANIFOLDS

In this section we recall the definition of Seifert manifolds and their classification in terms of Seifert invariants, mostly to set up our notation.

2.1. Seifert fibrations. A Seifert fibration of a closed, oriented 3-manifold M is a map $\pi: M \rightarrow \Sigma$ onto some (possibly non-orientable) closed surface Σ such that any point $x \in \Sigma$ has a neighbourhood $D^2 \subset \Sigma$ (with $x = 0 \in D^2$) such that $\pi^{-1}(D^2) \cong D^2 \times S^1$, and the map $\pi: D^2 \times S^1 \rightarrow D^2$ is given by

$$(re^{i\varphi}, e^{i\theta}) \mapsto re^{i(\alpha\varphi + \alpha'\theta)}$$

for some coprime integers α, α' with $\alpha \neq 0$. All fibres but the central one $\{0\} \times S^1$ are described by a pair of equations

$$r = r_0, \quad \alpha\varphi + \alpha'\theta = \theta_0$$

for some constants $r_0 \in (0, 1]$ and $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, where θ ranges from 0 to $2\pi\alpha$. The natural number $|\alpha|$ is called the *multiplicity* of the central fibre; if $|\alpha| > 1$, the central fibre is called *singular*.

In the local model $D^2 \times S^1 \rightarrow D^2$, all fibres except perhaps the central one are non-singular. Thus, compactness of Σ implies that there are only finitely many singular fibres.

2.2. Seifert invariants. For the moment, let us assume that Σ is oriented. Any Seifert fibred 3-manifold $M \rightarrow \Sigma$ with n singular fibres can then be constructed as follows. Consider disjoint model neighbourhoods around the singular fibres, corresponding to disjoint discs $D_1^2, \dots, D_n^2 \subset \Sigma$. Set

$$\Sigma_0 = \Sigma \setminus \text{Int}(D_1^2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup D_n^2).$$

Over this surface with boundary, the Seifert fibration restricts to a trivial S^1 -bundle $M_0 = \Sigma_0 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$.

Write the boundary $\partial\Sigma_0$ with the opposite of its natural orientation as

$$-\partial\Sigma_0 = S_1^1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup S_n^1.$$

In M_0 we define the (isotopy classes of) oriented curves

$$q_i = S_i^1 \times \{1\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{and} \quad h = \{1\} \times S^1.$$

Let $V_i = D^2 \times S^1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ be n copies of a solid torus with respective meridian and longitude

$$\mu_i = \partial D^2 \times \{1\}, \quad \lambda_i = \{1\} \times S^1 \subset \partial V_i.$$

Now, given pairs (α_i, β_i) , $i = 1, \dots, n$, of coprime integers with $\alpha_i \neq 0$ one obtains a Seifert fibration with singular fibres of multiplicities $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ by gluing the V_i to M_0 along the boundary via the identifications

$$(1) \quad \mu_i = \alpha_i q_i + \beta_i h, \quad \lambda_i = \alpha'_i q_i + \beta'_i h,$$

where integers α'_i, β'_i are chosen such that

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha_i & \alpha'_i \\ \beta_i & \beta'_i \end{vmatrix} = 1.$$

Notice that the identifications can equivalently be written as

$$h = -\alpha'_i \mu_i + \alpha_i \lambda_i, \quad q_i = \beta'_i \mu_i - \beta_i \lambda_i.$$

Therefore, in the homology of V_i one has $h \sim \alpha_i \lambda_i$ and $q_i \sim -\beta_i \lambda_i$.

With g denoting the genus of Σ , the resulting Seifert fibred manifold M is said to have *Seifert invariants*

$$(g; (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_n, \beta_n)).$$

These invariants determine M up to (Seifert bundle) isomorphism, that is, up to a diffeomorphism that preserves fibres and the orientations of M and Σ .

It is fairly easy to see that any Seifert fibred 3-manifold over an orientable surface can be described in this way. Moreover, two sets of Seifert invariants determine the same Seifert fibration if one can be changed into the other using the following operations, see [5, Theorem 1.5]:

- (S0) Permute the n pairs (α_i, β_i) .
- (S1) Add or delete any pair $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 0)$.
- (S2) Replace each (α_i, β_i) by $(\alpha_i, \beta_i + k_i \alpha_i)$, where $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = 0$.
- (S3) Replace any (α_i, β_i) by $(-\alpha_i, -\beta_i)$.

Reversing the orientation of M amounts to reversing the orientation of either h or the q_i . Thus, replacing each (α_i, β_i) by $(\alpha_i, -\beta_i)$ amounts to passing to a Seifert bundle structure on $-M$.

Remark 2.1. The operation (S3) corresponds to replacing (μ_i, λ_i) by $(-\mu_i, -\lambda_i)$. Usually, it is understood that the choice is made such that $\alpha_i \geq 1$; for this reason (S3) does not appear explicitly in [5, Theorem 1.5]. For us, however, it will be important not to fix the sign of the α_i , see Remark 4.6 below.

If Σ is non-orientable, it can be written as a connected sum of the real projective plane \mathbb{RP}^2 or the Klein bottle $\mathbb{RP}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2$ with an orientable surface, and the singular fibres may be assumed to lie over the orientable part. The description in terms of Seifert invariants is then as before; the genus of the base surface is written as a negative number, that is, $g(\mathbb{RP}^2) = -1$, $g(\mathbb{RP}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2) = -2$ etc.

2.3. The fundamental group. The fundamental group of

$$M = M(g; (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_n, \beta_n)),$$

as shown in [11, § 10] or [5, Section 6], has the presentation

$$\langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g, q_1, \dots, q_n, h \mid h \text{ central, } q_i^{\alpha_i} h^{\beta_i}, q_1 \cdots q_n [a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g] \rangle$$

for $g \geq 0$ and

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_{|g|}, q_1, \dots, q_n, h \mid a_j^{-1} h a_j = h^{-1}, [h, q_i], q_i^{\alpha_i} h^{\beta_i}, q_1 \cdots q_n a_1^2 \cdots a_{|g|}^2 \rangle$$

for $g < 0$; here a relation given as a word w is to be read as $w = 1$.

Geometrically, one wants to think of the base of a Seifert fibration $M \rightarrow \Sigma$ as an orbifold with orbifold singularities of multiplicity $|\alpha_1|, \dots, |\alpha_n|$. One then writes $\Sigma(|\alpha_1|, \dots, |\alpha_n|)$ to indicate the order of the cone points. The *orbifold fundamental group* $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\Sigma)$ is the quotient group of $\pi_1(M)$ obtained by setting the class h of the regular fibre equal to 1.

3. LENS SPACES

3.1. Definition of lens spaces. For any pair (p, q) of coprime integers with $p > 0$, the lens space $L(p, q)$ is the quotient of the 3-sphere $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ under the free \mathbb{Z}_p -action generated by

$$(2) \quad (z_1, z_2) \longmapsto (e^{2\pi i/p} z_1, e^{2\pi i q/p} z_2).$$

This lens space inherits a natural orientation from S^3 . Whenever we speak of a diffeomorphism of lens spaces, we mean an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism of oriented manifolds.

Notice that $L(1, 0) = S^3$. The definition of $L(p, q)$ can be extended to arbitrary coprime integers by setting $L(0, 1) := S^2 \times S^1$ and $L(p, q) := L(-p, -q)$ for $p < 0$. This is consistent with the surgery picture that we explain next.

3.2. Surgery description. The lens space $L(p, q)$ with its natural orientation can be obtained from S^3 by performing $(-p/q)$ -surgery along an unknot, see [4, p. 158]. In other words, the lens space $L(p, q)$ is given by gluing two solid tori $V_i = D^2 \times S^1$, $i = 1, 2$, using the orientation-reversing gluing map described by

$$(3) \quad \mu_1 = -q\mu_2 + p\lambda_2, \quad \lambda_1 = r\mu_2 + s\lambda_2,$$

where the integers r, s are chosen such that

$$\begin{vmatrix} -q & p \\ r & s \end{vmatrix} = -1.$$

Whenever $L(p, q)$ is written as the gluing of two solid tori, the longitudes λ_1, λ_2 can be chosen such that the gluing map is as described above. This corresponds with the fact that $L(p, q)$ depends only on p and the residue class of q modulo p , and that (r, s) may be changed by multiples of $(-q, p)$. Furthermore, by exchanging the roles of the two solid tori, one sees that $L(p, q)$ is diffeomorphic to $L(p, s)$.

As first shown by Reidemeister [9], these are the only orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms between lens spaces, that is, $L(p, q) \cong L(p, q')$ if and only if $q \equiv q'$ or $qq' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Similarly, with $-L(p, q')$ denoting the lens space $L(p, q')$ with the opposite of its natural orientation, we have $L(p, q) \cong -L(p, q')$ if and only if $q \equiv -q'$ or $qq' \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

4. SEIFERT FIBRATIONS ON LENS SPACES

4.1. The model fibrations. For any coprime pair $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, the free S^1 -action

$$\theta(z_1, z_2) = (e^{ik_1\theta} z_1, e^{ik_2\theta} z_2), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z},$$

on S^3 defines a Seifert fibration with singular fibres $S^1 \times \{0\}$ and $\{0\} \times S^1$ of multiplicity k_1 and k_2 , respectively. This S^1 -action commutes with the \mathbb{Z}_p -action (2) and thus defines a Seifert fibration on the quotient space $L(p, q) = S^3/\mathbb{Z}_p$.

In Section 5 we shall prove that — with the exception of two non-orientable Seifert fibrations that will be described presently — any Seifert fibration of any lens space is isomorphic to one in this standard form. In particular, we are going to determine the Seifert invariants of these model fibrations.

4.2. The base of the fibration. We begin with a simple observation.

Lemma 4.1. *The base surface of a Seifert bundle structure on any lens space is S^2 or \mathbb{RP}^2 . If the base is S^2 , there are at most two singular fibres; if the base is \mathbb{RP}^2 , there is no singular fibre.*

Proof. Any loop in the base Σ of a Seifert fibration $M \rightarrow \Sigma$ can obviously be lifted to a loop in M ; for instance, use an auxiliary Riemannian metric on M to lift the loop in Σ to a path orthogonal to the fibres in M , then join the endpoints along the fibre. It follows that the homomorphism $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(\Sigma)$ is surjective. Thus, if $\pi_1(M)$ is cyclic, then so is $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. This proves the first statement.

A Seifert bundle

$$M(0; (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_n, \beta_n))$$

with base S^2 has finite fundamental group only if $n \leq 3$, see [11, Satz 9]. If $n = 3$, the quotient group $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\Sigma)$ of $\pi_1(M)$ is a platonic group with presentation

$$\langle q_1, q_2, q_3 \mid q_1^{\alpha_1}, q_2^{\alpha_2}, q_3^{\alpha_3}, q_1 q_2 q_3 \rangle,$$

where

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \{(2, 2, m), (2, 3, 3), (2, 3, 4), (2, 3, 5)\};$$

this case cannot occur if $\pi_1(M)$ is cyclic.

A Seifert bundle

$$M(-1; (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_n, \beta_n))$$

with base \mathbb{RP}^2 leads to

$$\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\Sigma) = \langle a, q_1, \dots, q_n \mid q_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, q_n^{\alpha_n}, q_1 \cdots q_n a^2 \rangle.$$

This group is abelian if and only if $\alpha_i = \pm 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. In that case, using the equivalences (S0)–(S3) for Seifert invariants described in Section 2, we can pass to an equivalent Seifert fibration $M(-1; (1, b))$. \square

4.3. Non-orientable base. Here we deal with the case where the base surface is \mathbb{RP}^2 .

Proposition 4.2. *A lens space that fibres over \mathbb{RP}^2 is diffeomorphic to $L(4, 1)$ or $L(4, 3)$. Each of these lens spaces admits a unique Seifert bundle structure with base \mathbb{RP}^2 .*

Proof. Write the given fibration as $M = M(-1; (1, b))$. The quotient group

$$\pi_1(M(-1; (1, b)))/\langle q \rangle = \langle a, h \mid a^{-1}ha = h^{-1}, h^b, a^2 \rangle$$

is abelian only if $h^2 = 1$. So we need $b \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}$. For $b = \pm 2$ we have $\pi_1(M)/\langle q \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, which is excluded when M is a lens space. For $b = \pm 1$ we have

$$\pi_1(M) \cong \langle a, q, h \mid a^{-1}ha = h^{-1}, [h, q], qh^{\pm 1}, qa^2 \rangle.$$

A straightforward computation reduces this to

$$\pi_1(M) \cong \langle a \mid a^4 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_4.$$

Thus, there are at most two potential fibrations of a lens space over $\mathbb{R}P^2$, and only the lens spaces $L(4, 1)$ and $L(4, 3)$ might arise in this way. We now exhibit, on each of these two lens spaces, an S^1 -fibration with base $\mathbb{R}P^2$.

The positive Hopf fibration of the 3-sphere is the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}^2 \supset S^3 &\longrightarrow S^2 = \mathbb{C}P^1 \\ (z_1, z_2) &\longmapsto [z_1 : z_2]; \end{aligned}$$

this corresponds to the S^1 -action $\theta(z_1, z_2) = (e^{i\theta}z_1, e^{i\theta}z_2)$. The negative Hopf fibration, corresponding to the S^1 -action $\theta(z_1, z_2) = (e^{i\theta}z_1, e^{-i\theta}z_2)$, is defined by

$$(z_1, z_2) \longmapsto [z_1 : \bar{z}_2].$$

Our aim is to lift the antipodal \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on S^2 to a \mathbb{Z}_4 -action on S^3 , using either Hopf fibration. Each lift induces an S^1 -bundle $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^2$.

First we need to describe the antipodal action in terms of homogeneous coordinates on $S^2 = \mathbb{C}P^1$. The stereographic projection $\mathbb{R}^3 \supset S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$ from the north pole $(0, 0, 1)$ is given by

$$(x, y, t) \longmapsto \frac{x + iy}{1 - t} =: z;$$

the antipodal point is mapped as

$$(-x, -y, -t) \longmapsto \frac{-(x + iy)}{1 + t} =: \psi(z).$$

It follows that $\bar{z} \cdot \psi(z) = -1$. Thus, in homogeneous coordinates the antipodal map is described by

$$[z_1 : z_2] \longmapsto [\bar{z}_2 : -\bar{z}_1].$$

For the positive Hopf fibration, this \mathbb{Z}_2 -action is covered by the \mathbb{Z}_4 -action on S^3 generated by

$$A_+ : (z_1, z_2) \longmapsto (\bar{z}_2, -\bar{z}_1);$$

for the negative Hopf fibration, the lifted action is generated by

$$A_- : (z_1, z_2) \longmapsto (z_2, -z_1).$$

On the other hand, the \mathbb{Z}_4 -actions on S^3 producing the quotients $L(4, 1)$ and $L(4, 3)$ are generated by

$$A_1 : (z_1, z_2) \longmapsto (iz_1, iz_2)$$

and

$$A_3 : (z_1, z_2) \longmapsto (iz_1, -iz_2),$$

respectively.

In quaternionic notation $z_1 + z_2j =: a_0 + a_1i + a_2j + a_3k =: a \in S^3 \subset \mathbb{H}$, these maps take the simple form

$$A_+(a) = -j \cdot a, \quad A_-(a) = -a \cdot j, \quad A_1(a) = i \cdot a, \quad A_3(a) = a \cdot i.$$

A straightforward calculation then shows that the map $\phi: S^3 \rightarrow S^3$ defined by

$$\phi(a_0 + a_1i + a_2j + a_3k) := a_2 + a_0i + a_1j + a_3k$$

conjugates these actions as follows:

$$A_1 \circ \phi = \phi \circ A_+, \quad A_3 \circ \phi = \phi \circ A_-.$$

Such conjugating maps can be found with an ansatz $\phi(a) = bac$, where b and c are unit quaternions. Our choice corresponds to $b = (1 + i - j + k)/2$ and $c = (1 + i - j - k)/2$. \square

4.4. Orientable base. Any Seifert fibration over S^2 with at most two singular fibres has a total space that is obtained by gluing two solid tori, i.e. a lens space. The following theorem from [5] shows how to determine the type of lens space from the Seifert invariants. We include the proof since the argument will be relevant for answering the converse question: how to determine the Seifert invariants of all Seifert bundle structures on a given lens space.

Theorem 4.3 ([5, Theorem 4.4]). *The lens space $L(p, q)$ is diffeomorphic to the Seifert manifold $M(0; (\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2))$, provided that*

$$p = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ -\beta_1 & \beta_2 \end{vmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad q = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha'_2 \\ -\beta_1 & \beta'_2 \end{vmatrix},$$

where (α'_2, β'_2) is a solution of

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha_2 & \alpha'_2 \\ \beta_2 & \beta'_2 \end{vmatrix} = 1.$$

Proof. In the notation of Section 2, Σ_0 is an annulus, which gives us the relation $q_2 = -q_1$. With (1) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha'_1 & \beta'_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ h \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ -\alpha'_1 & \beta'_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_2 \\ h \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ -\alpha'_1 & \beta'_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta'_2 & -\beta_2 \\ -\alpha'_2 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_2 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -(\alpha_1\beta'_2 + \beta_1\alpha'_2) & \alpha_1\beta_2 + \beta_1\alpha_2 \\ -(\alpha'_1\beta'_2 + \beta'_1\alpha'_2) & \alpha'_1\beta_2 + \beta'_1\alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_2 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows by comparing this with (3). \square

Remark 4.4. Alternatively, but less explicitly than in the proof of Proposition 4.2, one can determine the lens spaces $M(-1; (1, \pm 1))$ by appealing to [5, Theorem 5.1] (whose proof is left as an exercise with hints). According to that theorem, there is a diffeomorphism

$$M(-1; (1, \pm 1)) \cong M(0; (2, 1), (2, -1), (\mp 1, 1)).$$

The latter Seifert bundle is equivalent to

$$M(0; (2, 1), (2, -1), (1, \mp 1)) = M(0; (2, \mp 1), (2, \mp 1)).$$

With Theorem 4.3 one finds that M is diffeomorphic to $L(-4, 3) = L(4, -3) = L(4, 1)$ for $b = +1$, and to $L(4, 3)$ for $b = -1$.

Using Theorem 4.3, we can deal directly with the lens space $L(0, 1) = S^2 \times S^1$.

Proposition 4.5. *A complete list of the Seifert bundle structures on $S^2 \times S^1$ is provided by*

$$M(0; (\alpha, \beta), (\alpha, -\beta)),$$

where (α, β) is any pair of coprime integers with $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

Proof. In a description $L(0, 1) = M(0; (\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2))$ we may assume by (S3) that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$. The equation $0 = p = \alpha_1\beta_2 + \beta_1\alpha_2$ with $\gcd(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = 1$ is then equivalent to $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and $\beta_1 = -\beta_2$. \square

Of course, for $\beta = 0$ we have $\alpha = 1$; this corresponds to the obvious S^1 -fibration of $S^2 \times S^1$.

We now turn the proof of Theorem 4.3 on its head, as it were, with the aim of determining all Seifert bundle structures on a fixed lens space $L(p, q)$, where from now on $p > 0$ is understood.

Given a Seifert bundle structure

$$\pi: L(p, q) = M(0; (\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2)) \longrightarrow S^2,$$

choose a circle $C \subset S^2$ separating the (at most) two orbifold points, and decompose $L(p, q)$ into two solid tori V_1, V_2 along $\pi^{-1}(C)$. As explained in Section 3.2, we can choose longitudes on ∂V_1 and ∂V_2 such that the gluing map of the two solid tori is given by (3). On the other hand, $L(p, q)$ may also be thought of as being obtained by gluing V_1, V_2 to a thickening of the torus $\pi^{-1}(C)$, using the identifications (1). We then have

$$\begin{pmatrix} -q_2 \\ h \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta'_2 & \beta_2 \\ -\alpha'_2 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_2 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ h \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta'_1 & -\beta_1 \\ -\alpha'_1 & \alpha_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta'_1 & -\beta_1 \\ -\alpha'_1 & \alpha_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -q & p \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_2 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 4.6. Once the gluing map (3) is given, we are no longer free to replace only one of (μ_i, λ_i) by $(-\mu_i, -\lambda_i)$. For this reason, we may not fix the signs of α_1 and α_2 simultaneously, cf. Remark 2.1.

By expressing the relation $(q_1, h) = (-q_2, h)$ in terms of (μ_2, λ_2) , we arrive at the identities

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_2 &= s\alpha_1 - p\alpha'_1, \\ \alpha'_2 &= -r\alpha_1 - q\alpha'_1, \\ \beta_2 &= -s\beta_1 + p\beta'_1, \\ \beta'_2 &= r\beta_1 + q\beta'_1. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\alpha = \gcd(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and $\alpha_i^0 = \alpha_i/\alpha$, so that $\gcd(\alpha_1^0, \alpha_2^0) = 1$. The first equation above can then be written as

$$(5) \quad p\alpha'_1 = \alpha(s\alpha_1^0 - \alpha_2^0).$$

We first deal with the special case $s\alpha_1^0 = \alpha_2^0$. Then, since $p > 0$, we have $\alpha'_1 = 0$, and hence $\alpha_1\beta'_1 = 1$. Without loss of generality we may assume $\alpha_1 = 1$. This gives $\beta'_1 = 1$ and, from the first equation of (4), $\alpha_2 = s$. By applying (S2) we may assume

$\beta_1 = 0$. The third equation of (4) then gives $\beta_2 = p$. With (S1) we may remove the pair $(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = (1, 0)$, leaving us with a Seifert fibration $M(0; (s, p))$. Notice that $qs \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Conversely, one checks easily with Theorem 4.3 that this condition on s guarantees that the resulting lens space is $L(p, q)$. Since we may reverse the roles of q and s as described in Section 3.2, this proves the following.

Proposition 4.7. *A complete list of the Seifert bundle structures on $L(p, q)$, $p > 0$, over S^2 with only one singular fibre is given by*

$$M(0; (\alpha_2, p)),$$

where α_2 is any non-zero integer with $\alpha_2 \equiv q$ or $\alpha_2 q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. \square

Example 4.8. The Seifert fibrations on $S^3 = L(1, 0)$ with at most one singular fibre are given by the S^1 -actions

$$\theta(z_1, z_2) = (e^{i\theta} z_1, e^{is\theta} z_2),$$

where s can be any non-zero integer.

Since α_1 and α'_1 are coprime, we also have $\gcd(\alpha, \alpha'_1) = 1$. If $s\alpha_1^0 \neq \alpha_2^0$, then from (5) we have

$$(6) \quad \alpha = \frac{p}{\gcd(p, s\alpha_1^0 - \alpha_2^0)}$$

and, likewise,

$$(7) \quad \alpha'_1 = \frac{s\alpha_1^0 - \alpha_2^0}{\gcd(p, s\alpha_1^0 - \alpha_2^0)}.$$

Conversely, if one defines α and α'_1 by these equations, then (5) holds.

4.5. An algorithm for finding Seifert fibrations. We now use this to determine all Seifert fibrations of a given lens space. Notice that the right-hand sides of equations (6) and (7) make sense also if $s\alpha_1^0 = \alpha_2^0$. The following theorem says, in particular, that for a given lens space one can always find a Seifert fibration where the coprime parts of the multiplicities of the singular fibres can be prescribed arbitrarily. For instance, there is a Seifert fibration $S^3 \rightarrow S^2(k_1, k_2)$ for any pair of coprime non-zero integers k_1, k_2 , see Section 4.1.

Theorem 4.9. *(i) Let a lens space $L(p, q)$, $p > 0$, and a pair of coprime non-zero integers α_1^0, α_2^0 be given. Then there is a Seifert fibration*

$$L(p, q) = M(0; (\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2)) \longrightarrow S^2(|\alpha_1|, |\alpha_2|),$$

where the Seifert invariants are defined as follows. Choose integers r, s such that

$$\begin{vmatrix} -q & p \\ r & s \end{vmatrix} = -1.$$

Then, with α defined by equation (6), set

$$(8) \quad \alpha_1 := \alpha\alpha_1^0, \quad \alpha_2 := \alpha\alpha_2^0,$$

so that $\alpha = \gcd(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. Define α'_1 by (7). Then there are integers β_1, β'_1 such that

$$(9) \quad \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha'_1 \\ \beta_1 & \beta'_1 \end{vmatrix} = 1.$$

Finally, define β_2 by the fourth equation of (4), that is,

$$(10) \quad \beta_2 := -s\beta_1 + p\beta'_1.$$

(ii) The resulting Seifert bundle structure on $L(p, q)$ in this construction is independent of the specific choice of r, s, β_1, β'_1 .

(iii) Any Seifert bundle structure on $L(p, q)$ can be obtained in this way.

Proof. (i) We first need to show that α_1 and α'_1 are coprime. By the definitions (6) and (7) of α and α'_1 , respectively, we have $\gcd(\alpha, \alpha'_1) = 1$. Any divisor of both α_1 and α'_1 would also, by (5), divide α_2 , and hence α . This validates the definition of β_1 via (9).

Next we want to verify, with the help of Theorem 4.3, that $M(0; (\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2))$ is diffeomorphic to $L(p, q)$. Set $u := \gcd(p, s\alpha_1^0 - \alpha_2^0)$, so that $p = u\alpha$ and $s\alpha_1^0 - \alpha_2^0 = u\alpha'_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1\beta_2 + \beta_1\alpha_2 &= p\alpha_1\beta'_1 - s\alpha_1\beta_1 + \beta_1\alpha_2 \\ &= p\alpha_1\beta'_1 - \beta_1(s\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \\ &= p\alpha_1\beta'_1 - \beta_1\alpha(s\alpha_1^0 - \alpha_2^0) \\ &= p\alpha_1\beta'_1 - \beta_1\alpha u\alpha'_1 \\ &= p(\alpha_1\beta'_1 - \beta_1\alpha'_1) \\ &= p. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, this shows that any divisor d of both α_2 and β_2 also divides p , and hence also $d|s\beta_1$ by (10). But $\gcd(p, s) = 1$, so that $d|\beta_1$. From $p\alpha'_1 = s\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ we also have $d|\alpha_1$. Since $\gcd(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = 1$, this forces $d = \pm 1$, that is, $\gcd(\alpha_2, \beta_2) = 1$. So (α_2, β_2) is indeed an allowable Seifert invariant.

Now choose integers α'_2, β'_2 such that $\alpha_2\beta'_2 - \beta_2\alpha'_2 = 1$ as in Theorem 4.3. We then need to verify that $\alpha_1\beta'_2 + \beta_1\alpha'_2 \equiv q \pmod{p}$. To this end, it suffices to show that $s(\alpha_1\beta'_2 + \beta_1\alpha'_2) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Computing modulo p , from (10) we have $\beta_2 \equiv -s\beta_1$. The defining equation for α'_2, β'_2 then becomes

$$\alpha_2\beta'_2 + s\beta_1\alpha'_2 \equiv 1.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} s(\alpha_1\beta'_2 + \beta_1\alpha'_2) &\equiv s\alpha_1\beta'_2 + 1 - \alpha_2\beta'_2 \\ &= (s\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)\beta'_2 + 1 \\ &= p\alpha'_1\beta'_2 + 1 \\ &\equiv 1. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The value of s may be changed by adding kp , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This does not affect $\gcd(p, s\alpha_1^0 - \alpha_2^0)$, hence $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ remain unchanged. The value of α'_1 changes by $k\alpha_1$. With β_1 unchanged, we need to add $k\beta_1$ to β'_1 . In total, this leaves β_2 unchanged.

The pair (β_1, β'_1) may be changed by adding $k(\alpha_1, \alpha'_1)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This adds $k(-s\alpha_1 + p\alpha'_1) = -k\alpha_2$ to β_2 . By rule (S2), this gives an equivalent Seifert fibration.

(iii) This statement follows from the decomposition of $L(p, q)$ into two solid tori about the singular fibres, since all the defining identities were derived from such a composition. Exchanging the roles of the two solid tori, which amounts to passing from $L(p, q)$ to the diffeomorphic $L(p, s)$, is the same as exchanging the role of α_1^0 and α_2^0 . \square

Example 4.10. With $\alpha_1^0 = 1$ and $q\alpha_2^0 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, the algorithm in the theorem yields the Seifert bundle structure $M(0; (1, 0), (\alpha_2^0, p))$ on $L(p, q)$. With $\alpha_1^0 \equiv q \pmod{p}$ and $\alpha_2^0 = 1$ one obtains $M(0; (\alpha_1^0, p), (1, 0))$. These are the Seifert bundle structures described in Proposition 4.7.

4.6. Equivalences between Seifert fibrations. We now analyse when the algorithm in Theorem 4.9 leads to equivalent Seifert fibrations on the lens space $L(p, q)$. A necessary condition is of course that the multiplicities of the singular fibres must coincide, so we fix an unordered pair $\{|\alpha_1^0|, |\alpha_2^0|\}$.

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 4.11. *Fix a lens space $L(p, q)$, $p > 0$, and a pair of coprime natural numbers $\{|\alpha_1^0|, |\alpha_2^0|\}$. We consider Seifert bundle structures of the form*

$$L(p, q) \longrightarrow S^2(m_1, m_2),$$

where the coprime parts

$$\left\{ \frac{m_1}{\gcd(m_1, m_2)}, \frac{m_2}{\gcd(m_1, m_2)} \right\}$$

equal $\{|\alpha_1^0|, |\alpha_2^0|\}$.

(i) *If $|\alpha_1^0| = |\alpha_2^0| = 1$, then there are precisely two distinct such Seifert bundle structures on $L(p, q)$. There is an orientation-reversing bundle isomorphism between these two bundles if and only if $q^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.*

(ii) *If $|\alpha_1^0| \neq |\alpha_2^0|$, then the following holds:*

- (1) *If $q^2 \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$, there are exactly four distinct such Seifert bundle structures.*
- (2) *If $q^2 \equiv 1$ but $q^2 \not\equiv -1$, there are exactly two distinct such Seifert bundle structures.*
- (3) *If $q^2 \equiv -1$ but $q^2 \not\equiv 1$, there are exactly four such Seifert bundle structures that are orientation-reversingly isomorphic in two pairs.*
- (4) *If $q^2 \equiv \pm 1$ (and hence $p \in \{1, 2\}$) there are exactly two such Seifert bundle structures, isomorphic via an orientation-reversing bundle map.*

The proof of this theorem will take up the remainder of this section. We need to study the Seifert fibrations constructed with the algorithm in Theorem 4.9, starting from the ordered pairs (α_1^0, α_2^0) , $(\alpha_1^0, -\alpha_2^0)$, (α_2^0, α_1^0) and $(\alpha_2^0, -\alpha_1^0)$. Changing the sign of both α_1^0 and α_2^0 has no effect: in the algorithm both the signs of the α_i and the β_i become reversed.

(A) As a first case, we consider the Seifert manifolds

$$M := M(0; (\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2)),$$

obtained from the pair (α_1^0, α_2^0) , and

$$\underline{M} := M(0; (\underline{\alpha}_1, \underline{\beta}_1), (\underline{\alpha}_2, \underline{\beta}_2)),$$

corresponding to $(\alpha_1^0, -\alpha_2^0)$. Our notational convention is that all quantities corresponding to \underline{M} will be underlined. We want to decide when $M = \underline{M}$, by which we mean equivalence as oriented Seifert fibrations, or $M = -\underline{M}$, which is meant to denote an equivalence of Seifert fibrations that reverses the orientation of the fibres and the 3-manifold. Of course, the latter can only happen if $L(p, q)$ admits an orientation-reversing diffeomorphism, which by Section 3.2 is equivalent to $2q \equiv 0$

(i.e. $p \in \{1, 2\}$) or $q^2 \equiv -1 \pmod p$; the first case is subsumed by the second one. Recall from Section 2.1 that

$$-\underline{M} = M(0; (\underline{\alpha}_1, -\underline{\beta}_1), (\underline{\alpha}_2, -\underline{\beta}_2)).$$

The condition $|\alpha_1| = |\underline{\alpha}_1|$, $|\alpha_2| = |\underline{\alpha}_2|$ on the multiplicities translates into $\alpha = \underline{\alpha}$, or

$$(u-A) \quad u := \gcd(p, s\alpha_1^0 - \alpha_2^0) = \gcd(p, s\alpha_1^0 + \alpha_2^0).$$

Thus, $\underline{\alpha}_1 = \alpha_1$, $\underline{\alpha}_2 = -\alpha_2$, i.e.

$$\underline{M} = M(0; (\alpha_1, \underline{\beta}_1), (-\alpha_2, \underline{\beta}_2)) = M(0; (\alpha_1, \underline{\beta}_1), (\alpha_2, -\underline{\beta}_2)).$$

(A.1) Assume that $|\alpha_1^0| \neq |\alpha_2^0|$, so that the two singular fibres can be distinguished by their multiplicity. Then $M = \pm \underline{M}$ is equivalent to the existence of an $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\pm \underline{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + \ell\alpha_1, \quad \mp \underline{\beta}_2 = \beta_2 - \ell\alpha_2.$$

For \underline{M} to come from our algorithm, the defining equations in Theorem 4.9 must be satisfied. Using (7) and the third equation of (4), we can express condition (9) in terms of unprimed quantities as follows:

$$p = p \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha'_1 \\ \beta_1 & \beta'_1 \end{vmatrix} = \alpha_1(\beta_2 + s\beta_1) - \beta_1(s\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) = \alpha_1\beta_2 + \beta_1\alpha_2.$$

For the underlined quantities we get

$$\begin{aligned} p \begin{vmatrix} \underline{\alpha}_1 & \underline{\alpha}'_1 \\ \underline{\beta}_1 & \underline{\beta}'_1 \end{vmatrix} &= \alpha_1(\mp \beta_2 \pm \ell\alpha_2 \pm s\beta_1 \pm \ell s\alpha_1) \mp (\beta_1 + \ell\alpha_1)(s\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \\ &= \mp(\alpha_1\beta_2 + \beta_1\alpha_2) \\ &= \mp p. \end{aligned}$$

This means that only the lower choice of sign is possible, so at best we might have $M = -\underline{M}$.

For this to be the case, we need only ensure that $\underline{\beta}'_1 = (\underline{\beta}_2 + s\underline{\beta}_1)/p$ is actually integral, that is, we have the divisibility condition

$$p \mid (\underline{\beta}_2 + s\underline{\beta}_1) = (\beta_2 - s\beta_1 - \ell(s\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)).$$

Since u divides $s\alpha_1^0 + \alpha_2^0$, and $p = u\alpha$, this condition reduces to

$$p \mid (\beta_2 - s\beta_1).$$

But of course we also have $p \mid (\beta_2 + s\beta_1)$ from the fibration M , so $p = u\alpha$ must divide $2\beta_1$.

With $\gcd(\alpha, \beta_1) = 1$ this gives $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}$. Moreover, from the defining equation (u-A) we have, with $\gcd(s, p) = 1$, that u divides both $2\alpha_1^0$ and $2\alpha_2^0$. With $\gcd(\alpha_1^0, \alpha_2^0) = 1$ this yields $u \in \{1, 2\}$. Hence $p \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.

For p equal to 1 or 2, the divisibility condition is obviously satisfied. For $p = 4$, i.e. $u = \alpha = 2$, the integer β_1 would have to be even, and hence α_1 odd, contradicting $\alpha \mid \alpha_1$; so this is excluded.

Observe that for $p \in \{1, 2\}$, condition (u-A) is automatically satisfied.

(A.2) If $|\alpha_1^0| = |\alpha_2^0|$ (and hence equal to 1), write $\alpha_2 = \varepsilon\alpha_1$ with $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. In addition to the options for $M = \pm \underline{M}$ discussed under **(A.1)**, we have the freedom to exchange the roles of the two singular fibres. This translates into

$$\pm \varepsilon \underline{\beta}_1 = \beta_2 + \ell\alpha_2, \quad \mp \varepsilon \underline{\beta}_2 = \beta_1 - \ell\alpha_1.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
p \begin{vmatrix} \underline{\alpha}_1 & \underline{\alpha}'_1 \\ \underline{\beta}_1 & \underline{\beta}'_1 \end{vmatrix} &= \alpha_1(\underline{\beta}_2 + s\underline{\beta}_1) - \underline{\beta}_1(s\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \\
&= \varepsilon\alpha_1(\mp\beta_1 \pm \ell\alpha_1 \pm s\beta_2 \pm \ell s\alpha_2) \mp \varepsilon(\beta_2 + \ell\alpha_2)(s\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \\
&= \mp(\alpha_2\beta_1 + \beta_2\alpha_1) \\
&= \mp p.
\end{aligned}$$

Once again, by (9), only $M = -\underline{M}$ is possible.

The divisibility condition now becomes

$$p \mid (\underline{\beta}_2 + s\underline{\beta}_1) = (\varepsilon(\beta_1 - s\beta_2) - \ell(s\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)),$$

which reduces to $p \mid (\beta_1 - s\beta_2)$. With the third equation from (4) this is equivalent to

$$p \mid \beta_1(1 + s^2).$$

With $p = u\alpha$ and $\gcd(\alpha, \beta_1) = 1$ this implies $\alpha \mid (1 + s^2)$.

Now $u \in \{1, 2\}$ as in **(A.1)**. For $u = 1$ the divisibility condition is equivalent to $p \mid (1 + s^2)$. Having $u = 2$ means

$$2 = \gcd(p, s - 1) = \gcd(p, s + 1)$$

by (u-A). But then one of $s - 1$ or $s + 1$ is divisible by 4, which means that p is not. So α must be odd. Then the divisibility condition is again equivalent to $p = 2\alpha$ being a divisor of $1 + s^2$.

Notice that the condition $s^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ is equivalent to $q^2 \equiv -1$. Also, this condition is automatically satisfied for $p \in \{1, 2\}$, so we need not list these as separate options coming from **(A.1)**.

Observe that (u-A) is again a consequence of $s^2 \equiv -1$, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\gcd(p, (s - \varepsilon)\alpha_1^0) &= \gcd(p, s(s - \varepsilon)\alpha_1^0) \\
&= \gcd(p, -(1 + s\varepsilon)\alpha_1^0) \\
&= \gcd(p, (s + \varepsilon)\alpha_1^0).
\end{aligned}$$

We summarise case **(A)** in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.12. *When the pair (α_1^0, α_2^0) is replaced by $(\alpha_1^0, -\alpha_2^0)$ in the algorithm of Theorem 4.9, the two resulting Seifert fibrations on $L(p, q)$ are never isomorphic as oriented fibrations. An orientation-reversing bundle isomorphism exists, for $|\alpha_1^0| \neq |\alpha_2^0|$, precisely when $p \in \{1, 2\}$; for $|\alpha_1^0| = |\alpha_2^0| = 1$, if and only if $q^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. \square*

(B) Again we write M for the Seifert fibration corresponding to the pair (α_1^0, α_2^0) ; the Seifert fibration \underline{M} is now taken to be the one coming from (α_2^0, α_1^0) . As before, for M and \underline{M} to be equivalent we need $\alpha = \underline{\alpha}$, which translates into

$$(u-B) \quad u := \gcd(p, s\alpha_1^0 - \alpha_2^0) = \gcd(p, s\alpha_2^0 - \alpha_1^0).$$

We can then write

$$\underline{M} = M(0; (\alpha_2, \underline{\beta}_1), (\alpha_1, \underline{\beta}_2)).$$

(B.1) For $|\alpha_1^0| \neq |\alpha_2^0|$, the statement $M = \pm \underline{M}$ is equivalent to the existence of an $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\pm \underline{\beta}_1 = \beta_2 - \ell\alpha_2, \quad \pm \underline{\beta}_2 = \beta_1 + \ell\alpha_1.$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} p \begin{vmatrix} \underline{\alpha}_1 & \underline{\alpha}'_1 \\ \underline{\beta}_1 & \underline{\beta}'_1 \end{vmatrix} &= \alpha_2(\pm\beta_1 \pm \ell\alpha_1 \pm s\beta_2 \mp \ell s\alpha_2) \mp (\beta_2 - \ell\alpha_2)(s\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) \\ &= \pm(\alpha_1\beta_2 + \beta_1\alpha_2) \\ &= \pm p \end{aligned}$$

we see that only $M = \underline{M}$ is an option.

The divisibility condition

$$p \mid (\underline{\beta}_2 + s\underline{\beta}_1) = ((\beta_1 + s\beta_2) - \ell(s\alpha_2 - \alpha_1))$$

is equivalent to $p \mid (\beta_1 + s\beta_2)$; with the third equation of (4) this becomes

$$p \mid \beta_1(1 - s^2).$$

From (u-B) we see that u divides both

$$s\alpha_2^0 - \alpha_1^0 + s(s\alpha_1^0 - \alpha_2^0) = -(1 - s^2)\alpha_1^0$$

and

$$s\alpha_1^0 - \alpha_2^0 + s(s\alpha_2^0 - \alpha_1^0) = -(1 - s^2)\alpha_2^0.$$

Since α_1^0 and α_2^0 are coprime, this means $u \mid (1 - s^2)$. From

$$p = u\alpha \mid \beta_1(1 - s^2), \quad u \mid (1 - s^2), \quad \text{and} \quad \gcd(\alpha, \beta_1) = 1$$

we find $p \mid (1 - s^2)$, so the divisibility condition is $q^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

The requirement (u-B) is implied by this condition, since

$$s(s\alpha_1^0 - \alpha_2^0) \equiv -(s\alpha_2^0 - \alpha_1^0) \pmod{p}.$$

(B.2) If $|\alpha_1^0| = |\alpha_2^0| = 1$, and with $\alpha_2 = \varepsilon\alpha_1$, $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, we have the additional possibility that

$$\pm\varepsilon\underline{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + \ell\alpha_1, \quad \pm\varepsilon\underline{\beta}_2 = \beta_2 - \ell\alpha_2.$$

Again one checks that only $M = \underline{M}$ might happen. The divisibility condition now becomes $p \mid (\beta_2 + s\beta_1)$, which is always satisfied by (4).

This should not come as a surprise: reversing the roles of the two singular fibres simply cancels the effect of exchanging the two multiplicities (of equal absolute value).

Also, condition (u-B) is again empty, since

$$s(s - \varepsilon) \equiv -(s\varepsilon - 1) \pmod{p}.$$

Summarising, we have the following statement.

Proposition 4.13. *The two Seifert fibrations coming from (α_1^0, α_2^0) and (α_2^0, α_1^0) are always isomorphic (as oriented fibrations) for $|\alpha_1^0| = |\alpha_2^0| = 1$; for $|\alpha_1^0| \neq |\alpha_2^0|$ they are isomorphic precisely when $q^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. There is never an orientation-reversing bundle isomorphism between the two Seifert fibrations. \square*

(C) Finally, we need to check potential equivalences coming from replacing (α_1^0, α_2^0) by $(\alpha_2^0, -\alpha_1^0)$. The necessary condition on multiplicities now becomes

$$(u-C) \quad u := \gcd(p, s\alpha_1^0 - \alpha_2^0) = \gcd(p, s\alpha_2^0 + \alpha_1^0),$$

and we can write

$$\underline{M} = M(0; (\alpha_2, \underline{\beta}_1), (-\alpha_1, \underline{\beta}_2)).$$

(C.1) If $|\alpha_1^0| \neq |\alpha_2^0|$, then $M = \pm \underline{M}$ holds precisely when there is an $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\pm \underline{\beta}_1 = \beta_2 + \ell \alpha_2, \quad \mp \underline{\beta}_2 = \beta_1 - \ell \alpha_1.$$

Computing as in the other cases, one finds that only $M = -\underline{M}$ is possible. By an argument as in (B.1) one sees that the divisibility condition becomes $q^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. This condition implies (u-C), for

$$s(s\alpha_1^0 - \alpha_2^0) \equiv -(s\alpha_2^0 + \alpha_1^0) \pmod{p}.$$

(C.2) If $|\alpha_1^0| = |\alpha_2^0| = 1$, then in notation as earlier we have the additional possibility that

$$\pm \varepsilon \underline{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + \ell \alpha_1, \quad \mp \varepsilon \underline{\beta}_2 = \beta_2 - \ell \alpha_2.$$

Again, only $M = -\underline{M}$ might happen. The divisibility condition, with arguments as in (A.1), becomes $p \in \{1, 2\}$, which is included in the condition $q^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ from (C.1). Alternatively, observe that this case (C.2) actually coincides with (A.2).

In conclusion, we have the following.

Proposition 4.14. *The two Seifert fibrations coming from (α_1^0, α_2^0) and $(\alpha_2^0, -\alpha_1^0)$ are never isomorphic as oriented fibrations. An orientation-reversing bundle isomorphism exists if and only if $q^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. \square*

Write symbolically (e) for the Seifert fibration obtained from the original choice (α_1^0, α_2^0) , and (a), (b), (c) for the bundle structures obtained via the alternative choices described above. It suffices to understand the potential equivalences under these three choices when we observe that (b) is obtained from (a) via the process described in (C), (c) from (a) via (B), and (c) from (b) via (A). Thus, if $|\alpha_1^0| = |\alpha_2^0| = 1$, we have

$$(e) = (b), \text{ distinct from } (a) = (c) \text{ if } q^2 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p},$$

and

$$(e) = -(a) = (b) = -(c) \text{ if } q^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

If $|\alpha_1^0| \neq |\alpha_2^0|$, we find, with the cases numbered as in Theorem 4.11 (ii),

- (1) (e), (a), (b), (c) distinct.
- (2) (e) = (b), distinct from (a) = (c).
- (3) (e) = -(c), distinct from (a) = -(b).
- (4) (e) = -(a) = (b) = -(c).

This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.11.

Example 4.15. (1) The Seifert fibrations of $L(7, 2)$ with $\{|\alpha_1^0|, |\alpha_2^0|\} = \{5, 2\}$ are

$$M(0; (35, -2), (14, 1)), \quad M(0; (35, -8), (14, 3)),$$

$$M(0; (35, -22), (14, 9)), \quad M(0; (35, -3), (14, 1)).$$

(2) The Seifert fibrations of $L(3, 2)$ with $\{|\alpha_1^0|, |\alpha_2^0|\} = \{5, 3\}$ are

$$M(0; (15, 2), (9, -1)) \quad \text{and} \quad M(0; (15, -7), (9, 4)).$$

(3) The Seifert fibrations of $L(5, 2)$ with $\{|\alpha_1^0|, |\alpha_2^0|\} = \{3, 2\}$ are

$$M(0; (15, 2), (10, -1)) = -M(0; (15, -2), (10, 1)),$$

$$M(0; (15, 4), (10, -3)) = -M(0; (15, -4), (10, 3)).$$

(4) The Seifert fibrations of $L(2, 1)$ with $\{|\alpha_1^0|, |\alpha_2^0|\} = \{5, 3\}$ are

$$M(0; (5, -1), (3, 1)) = -M(0; (5, 1), (3, -1)).$$

Remark 4.16. These examples were chosen such that the base orbifold is always the same, even if the fibrations are not equivalent. If one of the three conditions (u-A), (u-B), (u-C) is violated, which may happen in cases (i) and (ii) (1)–(3) of Theorem 4.11, the base orbifolds will be different (e.g. take $L(7, 2)$ and $(\alpha_1^0, \alpha_2^0) = (5, 3)$).

Example 4.17. In [6, Lemma 3.4], the Seifert fibrations of $L(p, 1)$ with two singular fibres of equal multiplicity were determined by an *ad hoc* argument. The case $p = 2$ was relevant in [2]. Here we show how this classification fits into our general scheme.

A complete list of Seifert fibrations with singular fibres of equal multiplicity, up to orientation-preserving bundle isomorphism, comes from $\alpha_1^0 = 1$ and $\alpha_2^0 = \pm 1$. We refer to the two choices of α_2^0 as the cases (\pm) . We may choose $s = 1$ (and $r = 0$). Then

$$\alpha = \frac{p}{\gcd(p, 1 \mp 1)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in case } (+), \\ p & \text{in case } (-) \text{ and } p \text{ odd,} \\ p/2 & \text{in case } (-) \text{ and } p \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

In the sequel, we always keep the order of these three cases. Then

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \begin{cases} (1, 1), \\ (p, -p), \\ (p/2, -p/2). \end{cases}$$

Next, we have

$$\alpha'_1 = \frac{1 \mp 1}{\gcd(p, 1 \mp 1)} = \begin{cases} 0, \\ 2, \\ 1. \end{cases}$$

This allows us to choose

$$(\beta_1, \beta'_1) = \begin{cases} (0, 1), \\ ((p-1)/2, 1), \\ (-1, 0). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\beta_2 = -\beta_1 + p\beta'_1 = \begin{cases} p, \\ (1-p)/2 + p = (1+p)/2, \\ 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the Seifert fibrations of $L(p, 1)$ with two singular fibres of equal multiplicity are

$$M(0; (1, 0), (1, p)) = M(0; (1, p))$$

and, for p odd,

$$M\left(0; \left(p, \frac{p-1}{2}\right), \left(-p, \frac{1+p}{2}\right)\right) = -M\left(0; \left(p, \frac{1-p}{2}\right), \left(p, \frac{1+p}{2}\right)\right);$$

for p even,

$$M = \left(0; \left(\frac{p}{2}, -1\right), \left(-\frac{p}{2}, 1\right)\right) = -M\left(0; \left(\frac{p}{2}, 1\right), \left(\frac{p}{2}, 1\right)\right).$$

5. THE MODEL FIBRATIONS

We return to the model fibrations introduced in Section 4.1. We determine the Seifert invariants of these fibrations by placing them in the context of the algorithm in Theorem 4.9. In particular, the discussion in this section will show the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Every Seifert fibration of a lens space $L(p, q)$, $p > 0$, is isomorphic to one of the model fibrations.*

We first consider the simple case of $L(1, 0) = S^3$, cf. [11, Satz 11].

Proposition 5.2. *A complete list of the Seifert bundle structures on S^3 is provided by*

$$M(0; (\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2)),$$

where α_1, α_2 is any pair of coprime natural numbers with $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$, and β_1, β_2 is a pair of integers with and $0 \leq \beta_1 < \alpha_1$ such that $\alpha_1\beta_2 + \beta_2\alpha_2 = 1$. These Seifert fibrations are realised by the model S^1 -action

$$\theta(z_1, z_2) = (e^{i\alpha_2\theta} z_1, e^{i\alpha_1\theta} z_2).$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, the condition for $M(0; (\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2))$ to equal S^3 is $\alpha_1\beta_2 + \beta_2\alpha_2 = 1$, i.e. that α_1, α_2 be coprime. Without loss of generality we may assume $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$. For given α_1, α_2 , the pair (β_1, β_2) is unique up to adding $(k\alpha_1, -k\alpha_2)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (S2) this does not affect the Seifert bundle structure, and by applying this equivalence we can choose a unique representative of the Seifert invariants where $0 \leq \beta_1 < \alpha_1$.

In particular, this argument shows that the Seifert fibration of S^3 is determined by the multiplicities α_1, α_2 of the singular fibres, and hence equal to one of the model fibrations. \square

From now on we consider a lens space $L(p, q)$ with $p > q > 0$ and $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, thought of as a quotient of S^3 under the \mathbb{Z}_p -action (2). We begin by considering the S^1 -action

$$\theta(z_1, z_2) = (e^{ik_1\theta} z_1, e^{ik_2\theta} z_2)$$

on S^3 . Define a decomposition of $S^3 = \tilde{V}_1 \cup \tilde{V}_2$ into two solid tori by setting

$$\tilde{V}_i := \{(z_1, z_2) \in S^3 : |z_i|^2 \leq 1/2\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

On the 2-tori $\partial\tilde{V}_i =: \tilde{T}_i$ we define meridian $\tilde{\mu}_i$ and longitude $\tilde{\lambda}_i$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_1(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{it}, 1), & \tilde{\lambda}_1(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{ist}, e^{it}), \\ \tilde{\mu}_2(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, e^{it}), & \tilde{\lambda}_2(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{it}, e^{iqt}), \end{aligned}$$

where t always runs from 0 to 2π , and r, s are as in Section 3.2. Our choice of longitudes is explained by the fact that these curves are invariant under the \mathbb{Z}_p -action and hence will descend to longitudes on the two solid tori making up $L(p, q)$.

A regular fibre of the Seifert fibration of S^3 lying inside the 2-torus $\tilde{T}_1 = \tilde{T}_2$ can be parametrised as

$$\tilde{h}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{ik_1t}, e^{ik_2t}), \quad t \in [0, 2\pi].$$

In the homology of $\tilde{T}_1 = \tilde{T}_2$ we have

$$\tilde{h} = -(sk_2 - k_1)\tilde{\mu}_1 + k_2\tilde{\lambda}_1$$

and

$$\tilde{h} = -(qk_1 - k_2)\tilde{\mu}_2 + k_1\tilde{\lambda}_2,$$

respectively. Comparing this with the gluing map (1) we see that this amounts to

$$(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}'_1) = (k_2, sk_2 - k_1)$$

and

$$(\tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{\alpha}'_2) = (k_1, qk_1 - k_2),$$

from which one can easily determine the Seifert invariants of the Seifert fibration of S^3 .

We now consider the projection

$$\mathbf{p}: S^3 \longrightarrow S^3/\mathbb{Z}_p = L(p, q)$$

to the quotient. The images $V_i := \mathbf{p}(\tilde{V}_i)$ are again solid tori, with meridian and longitude given by

$$\mu_i = \mathbf{p} \circ \tilde{\mu}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i = \mathbf{p} \circ \tilde{\lambda}_i|_{[0, 2\pi/p]}.$$

Notice that $p\lambda_2 - q\mu_2 = \mu_1$ in the homology of T_i , which accords with (3).

What are the Seifert invariants of the induced Seifert fibration of $L(p, q)$? Obviously, the length of the singular fibres $S^1 \times \{0\}$ and $\{0\} \times S^1$ on S^3 , which was $|2\pi/k_1|$ and $|2\pi/k_2|$, respectively, is reduced to $|2\pi/pk_1|$ and $|2\pi/pk_2|$, since these fibres are invariant under the \mathbb{Z}_p -action (and the action on the fibre is free). If the regular fibres were freely permuted by the \mathbb{Z}_p -action, their length would remain equal to 2π , and the new multiplicities of the singular fibres would be $|pk_1|$, $|pk_2|$. In general, however, a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p will leave a regular fibre invariant (and act freely on it).

We now determine this subgroup and thence deduce the Seifert invariants. A particular case of this analysis was carried out in [3, Proposition 7.6].

The following notation is chosen with prescience. Write u for the number of elements in \mathbb{Z}_p whose action leaves the regular fibre \tilde{h} invariant, that is, the number of $\ell \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ with $e^{2\pi i \ell/p} \tilde{h} = \tilde{h}$ (as a set). Then the regular fibre on the quotient S^3/\mathbb{Z}_p is parametrised by

$$h = \mathbf{p} \circ \tilde{h}|_{[0, 2\pi/u]}.$$

Notice that in the homology of T_1 we have

$$uh = -(sk_2 - k_1)\mu_1 + k_2p\lambda_1.$$

The following lemma shows that with $k_1 := \alpha_2^0$ and $k_2 := \alpha_1^0$ we have precisely the set-up of the algorithm in Theorem 4.9. Indeed, the formula above then becomes $h = \alpha_1\lambda_1 - \alpha'_1\mu_1$ as in (1). This allows one to read off the Seifert invariants and concludes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Remark 5.3. Notice that the circle $S^1 \times \{0\} \subset S^3$, which is the singular fibre of multiplicity k_1 in the Seifert fibration of S^3 , is the spine of the solid torus \tilde{V}_2 ; the fibre $\{0\} \times S^1$ of multiplicity k_2 is the spine of \tilde{V}_1 . This explains why the indices of the k_i and the α_i^0 are interchanged.

Lemma 5.4. $u = \gcd(p, sk_2 - k_1)$.

Proof. In order to determine u , we consider a point on the fibre h and its translates under the \mathbb{Z}_p -action. Then u is the number of these translates that lie again on h .

We pass to the universal cover \mathbb{R}^2 of T_1 and lift the S^1 -action and the \mathbb{Z}_p -action on T_1 to an \mathbb{R} -action and a \mathbb{Z} -action, respectively. With respect to the euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^2 , the \mathbb{R} -action is given by

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x + k_1 t, y + k_2 t);$$

the \mathbb{Z} -action is given by

$$(x, y) \mapsto \left(x + \frac{1}{p}, y + \frac{q}{p}\right).$$

Figure 1 shows the situation for $p = 6$, $q = 5$, $k_1 = 3$ and $k_2 = 1$. For simplicity, we assume $q > k_2/k_1 > 0$ for the remainder of the argument; the other three cases $q = k_2/k_1$, $q < k_2/k_1$ and $k_2/k_1 < 0$ are analogous.




FIGURE 1. Determining u geometrically.

Set

$$P := \left\{ \left(\frac{\ell}{p}, \frac{\ell q}{p} \right) : \ell = 1, \dots, p \right\}$$

and consider the lift

$$\hat{h} := \{ (k_1 t, k_2 t) : t \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

of h through the point $(0, 0)$. The set of all lifts of h is given by

$$H := \hat{h} + \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Then u equals the number of intersection points of P with H , that is,

$$u = \#(P \cap H).$$

Notice that the vertical distance between adjacent lines in the family H is $1/k_1$, the horizontal distance is $1/k_2$.

In order to determine this number u , we first consider the line segment

$$S := \{(t, qt) : t \in (0, 1]\},$$

which is subdivided by the points in P into p parts of equal length. Write X for the closed line segment joining the points $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 0)$, and Y for the line segment joining $(1, 0)$ with $(1, q)$. Then the number of intersection points of H with the half-open line segment S equals the number of times H intersects Y minus the number of times it intersects X . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \#(S \cap H) &= \#(Y \cap H) - \#(X \cap H) \\ &= qk_1 - k_2. \end{aligned}$$

So the line segment S is cut by H into $qk_1 - k_2$ parts of equal length, and u is the number of these division points that lie in P .

The first division points along S that coincide are characterised by the existence of coprime natural numbers n_1, n_2 such that

$$\frac{n_1}{p} = \frac{n_2}{qk_1 - k_2},$$

which is equivalent to $n_1(qk_1 - k_2) = n_2p = \text{lcm}(p, qk_1 - p)$. It follows that

$$u = \frac{p}{n_1} = \text{gcd}(p, qk_1 - k_2) = \text{gcd}(p, sk_2 - k_1),$$

as claimed. □

Remark 5.5. In the standard model from Section 4.1, the diffeomorphism giving the equivalence in case **(A)** is given by $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\bar{z}_1, z_2)$, in case **(B)** by $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (z_2, z_1)$, and in case **(C)** by $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\bar{z}_2, z_1)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. G. BRIN, *Seifert Fibered Spaces*, Notes for a course given in the Spring of 1993, [arXiv:0711.1346](#).
- [2] U. FRAUENFELDER, C. LANGE AND S. SUHR, A Hamiltonian version of a result of Gromoll and Grove, [arXiv:1603.05107](#).
- [3] H. GEIGES AND J. GONZALO PÉREZ, Transversely holomorphic flows and contact circles on spherical 3-manifolds, [arXiv:1510.08670](#).
- [4] R. E. GOMPF AND A. I. STIPSICZ, *4-Manifolds and Kirby Calculus*, Grad. Stud. Math. **20**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1999).
- [5] M. JANKINS AND W. D. NEUMANN, *Lectures on Seifert Manifolds*, Brandeis Lecture Notes **2**, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA (1983); available at <http://www.math.columbia.edu/~neumann/preprints/>
- [6] C. LANGE, On metrics on 2-orbifolds all of whose geodesics are closed, [arXiv:1603.08455](#).
- [7] P. ORLIK, *Seifert Manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Math. **291**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1972).
- [8] C. PRIES, Geodesics closed on the projective plane, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **18** (2009), 1774–1785.
- [9] K. REIDEMEISTER, Homotopieringe und Linsenräume, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **11** (1935), 102–109.
- [10] P. SCOTT, The geometries of 3-manifolds, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **15** (1983), 401–487.
- [11] H. SEIFERT, Topologie dreidimensionaler gefaserner Räume, *Acta Math.* **60** (1933), 147–238.
- [12] H. SEIFERT AND W. THRELFALL, *A Textbook of Topology*, Pure Appl. Math. **89**, Academic Press, New York (1980).

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT ZU KÖLN, WEYERTAL 86–90, 50931 KÖLN, GERMANY

E-mail address: geiges@math.uni-koeln.de, clange@math.uni-koeln.de